

Residual toxicities of some plant essential oils against Cotton Aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover

Rohit Kumar¹, Vijay Kumar² and Priyanka Bhatt^{3*}

ABSTRACT

Insecticidal activities of four essential plant oils *Artemisia vulgaris* (Burn), *Cymbopogon flexuosus* (Steud), *Tagetes minuta* (Khakibush) and *Rosmarinus officinalis* (Linneaus) were evaluated against *Aphis gossypii* by using leaf dip and residue contact bioassay techniques respectively. *Artemisia vulgaris* oil was found to be most toxic in residue contact as compared to leaf dip bioassay. The LC₅₀ of *A. vulgaris* was 0.118% and 0.179% at 24 hours after exposure (HAE) in residue contact and leaf dip bioassay methods respectively. The order of toxicity at 48 HAE was as follows *A. vulgaris* (0.104%) > *C. flexuosus* (0.169%) > *T. minuta* (0.272%) and *R. officinalis* (0.319%) in residue contact bioassay. In leaf dip bioassay, the order of toxicity was *A. vulgaris* (0.161%) > *C. flexuosus* (0.182%) > *T. minuta* (0.358%) and *R. officinalis* (0.481%). Relative toxicity of *A. vulgaris*, *C. flexuosus* and *T. minuta* was found to be 3.07, 1.97 and 1.17 respectively in residue contact method and 2.97, 2.64 and 1.34 respectively in leaf dip method. The lower values of LC₅₀ of all the four essential oils was observed in residue contact method which indicates its superiority over leaf dip bioassay.

Keywords: Essential oils, LC₅₀, LT₅₀, Bioassay, Toxicity, *Artemesia vulgaris*

MS History: 28.04.2024 (Received)-01.06.2024 (Revised)-08.06.2024 (Accepted)

Citation: Rohit Kumar, Vijay Kumar, and Priyanka Bhatt. 2024. Residual toxicities of some plant essential oils against Cotton Aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover. *Journal of Biopesticides*, 17(1): 08-14.

DOI: 10.57182/jbiopestic.17.1.08-14

INTRODUCTION

Aphis gossypii is a widespread polyphagous insect that feeds on more than 700 host plant species (Wang *et al.*, 2007, Somar *et al.*, 2019, Mostafiz *et al.*, 2019). It feeds on plant juices, block photosynthesis by secreting honey dew (Shannag *et al.*, 1998), reduces plant vigour and serve as vectors for many plant viruses such as maize dwarf mosaic virus, cucumber mosaic virus, potato leaf roll virus, barley yellow dwarf virus, sugarcane mosaic virus, carrot mottle virus, lettuce necrotic yellow virus (Gray *et al.*, 2002, Hogenhout *et al.*, 2008). In literature there are around 26 species of aphids known to have different number of biotypes (Taggar and Arora., 2017, Basky., 2003, Goggin, *et al.*, 2003, Wang *et al.*, 2019). *Aphis gossypii* is reported to have two biotypes (Wang *et al.*, 2016, Xu., 2014, Wang *et al.*, 2004). Aphids infestation significantly lowers the yield of the crops (Carletto *et al.*, 2010 Koo *et al.*, 2014) Aphids have long been controlled by use of chemical insecticides which have caused

environmental pollution, increase in resistance to insecticides and different biotypes to the aphids population (Patima, 2019, Bass *et al.*, 2015, Ma *et al.*, 2019, Zhang *et al.*, 2020), higher pesticide residues in soil (Hua *et al.*, 2023), and harm to natural enemies of insects (Das and Rahman, 2023). Hence, it is important and necessary to prioritize alternate suitable methods of management for aphids other than pesticides. In this context various researchers have studied the insecticidal properties of essential oils from plants and reported them as potential botanical insecticides to control various insect pests (Kordali *et al.*, 2005, Tripathi *et al.*, 2000, Mohan *et al.*, 2011). Previously *Coriandrum sativum* oil, *Lavandula spica* oil, *Foeniculum vulgare* oil, *Origanum vulgare* oil, *Juniperus communis* oil and *Syzygium aromaticum* (Atanasova *et al.*, 2018), *Ocimum sanctum*, *Ocimum basilicum*, *Ocimum gratissimum*, *Mentha piperita*, *Mentha arvensis*, *Tagetes erecta* and *Lavandula angustifolia* (Wang *et al.*, 2024) have been examined as potential

controls for *A. gossypii*. Essential plant oils of *Artemisia vulgaris* (Burn), *Cymbopogon flexuosus* (Steud), *Tagetes minuta* (Khakibush) and *Rosmarinus officinalis* (Linn.) have not been much exploited for the management of *A. gossypii*. This study was proposed to study the effect of these medicinal plants oils on the aphid population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in Plant Protection Laboratory, Department of Entomology at College of Horticulture, Veer Chandra Singh Garhwali Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry (VCSG UHF), Bharsar Pauri Garhwal. Dried plant parts were obtained from Medicinal Block, Bharsar and were extracted by hydro distillation method (Ray *et al.*, 2008) using Clevenger apparatus. The distilled oil was separated from water by a separating funnel and stored in refrigerator. A preliminary screening of essential oils was done at 1% and 2% after which the oils were tested to know their LC₅₀ by leaf dip and residue contact methods. Based on preliminary screening, a final regime of concentrations were prepared for the four essential plant oils.

Leaf dip bioassay

Leaf dip method was followed according to Kodandaram and Dhingra (2007). The full grown matured plant leaves of *Hibiscus rosa-chinesis* were plucked from surrounding area of College campus and brought to the laboratory. They were dipped in *Artemisia vulgaris* 0.3%, *Cymbopogon flexuosus* 0.5%, *Tagetes minuta* 0.8% and *Rosmarinus officinalis* 1% of different concentrations for one minute. Excess liquid was shaken from the foliage. This was then allowed to dry at room temperature. Treated leaf was then transferred to clean petri dish of diameter 9 cm. Later 10 apterous neonate aphids of same size were carefully released on the treated leaf by using a soft camel hair brush of zero size. Each treatment including control was replicated thrice. For control, the Hibiscus leaves were dipped in water, dried and used. The petri dishes were kept in incubator at 20±5°C. The data on mortality was recorded after 12, 24 and 48 hours feeding.

Residue contact bioassay method

Residue contact bioassay method was followed according to Srivastava and Proksch (1993) and Vedhamathi (2004). One mL of each of the concentration of oils were coated as a thin film in the lower and upper lid of petri plates. The solvent was allowed to dry at room temperature. After evaporation of the solvent, apterous aphid, *Aphis gossypii* (n=10) were given contact exposure for 30 minutes. In the control, the aphids were exposed to water alone (Parvathi and Kesar, 1999). Three replications were maintained. Thereafter, the aphids were transferred to petri dishes (9 cm diameter) containing fresh Hibiscus leaves. The data on mortality was recorded at 12, 24 and 48 hours after exposure (HAE). Moribund aphids were counted as dead. The mortality data was corrected using Abbott's formula (Abbott, 1925).

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The experiment was conducted in completely randomized design (CRD) and LC values were determined using probit analysis (Finney, 1971) based online computer programme OPSTAT. Relative toxicity (RT) of oil was calculated based on LC₅₀ value following (Ramangouda and Srivastava, 2009).

RESULTS

A. vulgaris was the most toxic oil at 12, 24 and 48 hours respectively, with LC₅₀ values of 0.228, 0.179, 0.116%, followed by *C. flexuosus* and *T. minuta*. *R. officinalis* was found to be least toxic oil at 12, 24 and 48 hours after feeding in leaf dip bioassay. *C. flexuosus* 2.09, 2.34, 2.64 and *T. minuta* were 1.32, 1.45 and 1.34 times more toxic than *R. officinalis* oil at 12, 24 and 48 hours, respectively. The relative toxicity values (RT₅₀) in leaf dip bioassay indicates that *A. vulgaris* was 2.97, 3.30 and 2.97 times more toxic than *R. officinalis* at 12, 24 and 48 hours, respectively. In residue contact bioassay *A. vulgaris* oil was the most toxic at 12, 24 and 48 hours respectively, the values of LC₅₀ as 0.142, 0.118 and 0.104% at 12, 24 and 48HAE, followed by *C. flexuosus* and *T. minuta*. *R. officinalis* was found to be least toxic oil with LC₅₀ 0.457 at 12 HAE, 0.380 at 24 HAE and 0.319% at 48 HAE values. The relative toxicity values

(RT₅₀) in residue contact bioassay indicated that *A. vulgaris* is 3.21, 3.22 and 3.07 times more toxic than *R. officinalis* at 12, 24 and 48 hours, respectively. *C. flexuosus* was found to be 1.93, 2.13 and 1.97 and *T. minuta* was 1.207, 1.26 and 1.17 times more toxic than *R. officinalis* oil at 12, 24 and 48 hours, respectively. It could

be inferred that *A. vulgaris* was the most toxic oil against *A. gossypii*. The LC₅₀ values of *A. vulgaris* in leaf dip bioassay varied from 0.228% at 12 hours after exposure to

Table 1. Dosage dependent mortality response of selected essential oils against *Aphis gossypii* by leaf dip bioassay at 12, 24 and 48 hours after exposure

Medicinal plants	LC ₅₀ values in ppm (%)					
	Leaf Dip Bioassay			Residue Contact Bioassay		
	12 hrs	24 hrs	48 hrs	12 hrs	24 hrs	48 hrs
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i>	2283.460 (0.2283)	1792.200 (0.1792)	1616.630 (0.1616)	1424.389 (0.1424)	1182.484 (0.1182)	1040.706 (0.1040)
<i>Cymbopogon flexuosus</i>	3235.150 (0.3235)	2524.680 (0.2524)	1822.370 (0.1822)	2358.892 (0.2358)	1786.192 (0.1786)	1692.249 (0.1692)
<i>Tagetes minuta</i>	5126.070 (0.5126)	4070.430 (0.4070)	3582.990 (0.3582)	3787.5 (0.3787)	3016.300 (0.3016)	2724.868 (0.2724)
<i>Rosemarinus officinalis</i>	6784.684 (0.6784)	5919.359 (0.5919)	4813.179 (0.4813)	4573.16 (0.4573)	3807.100 (0.3807)	3198.914 (0.3198)

Table 2. Relative toxicity value of selected essential oils against *Aphis gossypii* by leaf dip bioassay and residue contact bioassay at 12, 24 and 48 hours after exposure

Plant Species	Relative toxicity values at LC ₅₀					
	Leaf Dip Bioassay			Residue Contact Bioassay		
	12 hrs	24 hrs	48 hrs	12 hrs	24 hrs	48 hrs
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i>	2.97	3.30	2.97	3.21	3.22	3.07
<i>Cymbopogon flexuosus</i>	2.09	2.34	2.64	1.93	2.13	1.97
<i>Tagetes minuta</i>	1.32	1.45	1.34	1.207	1.26	1.17
<i>Rosemarinus officinalis</i>	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Table 3. Duration - mortality response of selected essential oils against cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* by leaf dip bioassay.

Plant species	Concentrations (%)	LT values in hours				Chi-square	Regression equation	Fiducial limit at LC ₅₀	
		LT ₃₀	LT ₅₀	LT ₇₅	LT ₉₀			Lower	Upper
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i>	0.3	0.213	3.084	95.770	2109.699	0.885	0.135x + 5.126	0.0001	20987.50
<i>Cymbopogon flexuosus</i>	0.3	1.174	9.645	144.778	1657.700	0.752	0.17x + 4.88	0.0100	8911.80
<i>Tagetes minuta</i>	0.3	4.096	69.727	2671.653	71100.220	0.998	0.125x + 4.54	0.0070	660214.90
	0.5	0.981	17.629	724.145	20517.086	0.882	0.13x + 4.786	0.0020	187056.40
<i>Rosemarinus officinalis</i>	0.3	12.919	186.790	5801.160	127792.790	0.885	0.14x + 4.32	0.0420	1356582.40
	0.5	7.900	124.520	4320.550	105161.800	0.885	0.13x + 4.416	0.0150	1012517.35
	0.6	0.981	17.629	724.145	20517.086	0.882	0.13x + 4.786	0.0020	187056.40

Table 4. Duration - mortality response of selected essential oils against cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* by eaf dip bioassay and residue contact bioassay at 12, 24 and 48 hours after exposure

Plant species	Concentrations (%)	LT values in hours				Chi-square	Regression equation	Fiducial limit at LC ₅₀	
		LT ₃₀	LT ₅₀	LT ₇₅	LT ₉₀			Lower	Upper
<i>Artemisia vulgaris</i>	0.3	0.117	0.947	13.940	156.800	0.884	0.17x + 5.46	0.000	1842.2
<i>Cymbopogon flexuosus</i>	0.3	0.213	3.0840	95.770	2109.690	0.885	0.135x + 5.126	0.000	20987.5
<i>Tagetes minuta</i>	0.3	0.981	17.629	724.140	20517.080	0.882	0.13x + 4.786	0.002	187056.4
<i>Rosemarinus officinalis</i>	0.5	1.818	32.674	1342.130	38026.369	0.882	0.13x + 4.673	0.003	346690.2
	0.3	0.981	17.629	724.145	20517.080	0.882	0.13x + 4.786	0.002	187056.4

0.1792 and 0.1616% at 24 and 48 HAE, respectively, whereas in residue contact method it varied from 0.1424 to 0.1182 and 0.1040% at 12, 24 and 48 HAE, respectively. *Artemisia vulgaris* was found to be more toxic oil in residue contact as it took less time to kill 50% of insects i.e. LT₅₀ 0.947 hours as compared to leaf dip (LT₅₀=3.08 hours). Studies on bioassay methods clearly indicates that the relative efficacies of oils vary considerably with respect to the method of bioassay.

DISCUSSIONS

Artemisia vulgaris oil was found to be most toxic followed by *Cymbopogon flexuosus*, *Tagetes minuta* and *Rosemarinus officinalis* in residue contact and leaf dip bioassays. Soliman (2005) tested *Artemisia herba-alba* and *Artemisia momosperma* against three sucking insect pests under laboratory and greenhouse conditions and found that both the oils gave a high toxicity on *A. gossypii* with LC₅₀ of 0.023 and 0.085%. Ateyyat et al. (2012) also reported *Artemisia eiberi* as the most toxic oil with LC₅₀ value of 6161 ppm at 24 hours after exposure against apple woolly aphid. Dhen et al. (2014) proved the toxicity of *Artemisia vulgaris* against stored pests and also checked the fumigant toxicity of *Artemisia absinthium* and found its strong fumigant toxicity with LC₅₀ and

LC₉₀ of 18.23 µL and 41.74 µl/L air respectively against *Rhizopertha dominica* adults.

The results of our experiment are in tune with the results of Ahmed et al. (2020) who studied the insecticidal activity and biochemical composition of *Citrullus colocynthis*, *cannabis indica* and *Artemisia argyi* extracts against cabbage aphid (*Brevicoryne brassicae*) and observed *Artemisia argyi* was the most toxic oil with LC₅₀ value i.e. 5.62, 4.28 and 0.22 ppm in residue contact and 38.6, 13.8 and 3.91 ppm in leaf dip bioassay at 24, 48 and 72 hours respectively. In a recent study done by Caixia Han et al (2023) *A.vulgaris* essential oil displayed potent insecticidal activity against *Aphis gossypii* adults with an LC₅₀ value of 46.706µg/mL. Our studies also confirm the insecticidal activity of *A. vulgaris* oil against *A. gossypii*. Mei Yang et al (2024) also observed a decline in aphid population on *Artemisia* plants and reported zero feeding on the seventh day of inoculation of aphids on *Artemisia* plant. The above study supports our findings and it can be concluded that various essential oils can be utilised in pest management programmes and antifeedant, insecticidal, fungicidal activities of *Artemisia* species should be further explored.

AUTHORS CONTRIBUTIONS

Rohit Kumar conducted experiment, layout, collection of data, and data analysis. Vijay Kumar

Co-advisor, Manuscript writing, Re-writing, Priyanka Bhat design of experiment, supervisor, conduct of experiment, writing, Re-writing, Editing.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are thankful to the Dean VCSG UUIHF Bharsar, Pauri Garhwal, Uttarakhand for providing laboratory facilities for the study of the current manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Abbott, W. S. 1925. A method for computing the effectiveness of an insecticide. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 18(2): 265-267.
- Atanasova, D., Ganchev, D., and Nenov, N. 2018. Efficacy of some plant essential oils against cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae) under laboratory conditions. *Mayfeb Journal of Agricultural Science*, 1: 10-16.
- Ahmed, M., Peiwen, Q., and Ji, M. 2020. Insecticidal activity and biochemical composition of *Citrullus colocynthis*, *Cannabis indica*, and *Artemisia argyi* extracts against cabbage aphid (*Brevicoryne brassicae* L.). *Natural Research*, 10: 522.
- Ateyyat, M., Abdel-Wali, M., and Al-Antary, T. 2012. Toxicity of five medicinal plant oils to woolly aphid, *Erisoma lanigerum* (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, 6(9): 66-72.
- Basky, Z. 2003. Biotypic and Pest Status Differences between Hungarian and South African Populations of Russian Wheat Aphid, *Diuraphis noxia* (Kurdjumov) (Homoptera: Aphididae). *Pest Management Science*, 59: 1152-1158.
- Basset, C., Denholm, I., Williamson, M. S., and Nauen, R. 2015. The global status of insect resistance to neonicotinoid insecticides. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, 121: 78-87.
- Carletto, J., Martin, T., Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F., and Brévault, T. 2010. Insecticide resistance traits differ among and within host races in *Aphis gossypii*. *Pest Management Science*, 66: 301-307.
- Caixia Han., Guoxian Zhang., Yu Mei., Zixiang Shan., Kai Shi., Zhou, S., Shao, H. (023). Chemical profile of *Artemisia vulgaris* L. essential oil and its phytotoxic, insecticidal, and antimicrobial activities. *South African Journal of Botany*, 162: 20-28.
- Hua, Shao. 2023. Chemical profile of *Artemisia vulgaris* L. essential oil and its phytotoxic, insecticidal, and antimicrobial activities. *South African Journal of Botany*, 162: 20-28.
- Das, T., and Rahman, A. 2023. Lepidopteran pests of tea: Biology, geographical distribution, and management. *Phytoparasitica*, 51: 461-489.
- Dhen, N., Majdoub, O., Souguir, S., Tayeb, W., and Chaieb, I. 2014. Chemical composition and fumigant toxicity of *Artemisia absinthium* essential oils against *Rhizopertha dominica* and *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Tunisian Journal of Plant Protection*, 9: 57-65.
- Finney, J. C. 1971. Probit analysis. Cambridge University Press, London, 333.
- Goggin, F.L.; Williamson, V.M.; Ullman, D.E. 2001. Variability in the Response of *Macrosiphum euphorbiae* and *Myzus persicae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) to the tomato resistance gene Mi. *Environmental Entomology*, 30: 101-106.
- Gray, S.M., Smith, D.M., Barbierri, L., Burd, J. 2002. Virus transmission phenotype is correlated with host adaptation among genetically diverse populations of the aphid *Schizaphis graminum*. *Phytopathology*, 92: 970-975.
- Hogenhout, S.A.; Ammar, E.-D.; Whitfield, A.E.; Redinbaugh, M.G. 2008. Insect vector interactions with persistently transmitted Viruses. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 46: 327-359.
- Hua, L., Zhao, D., Wang, H., Wei, T. 2023. Residues and bioavailability of neonicotinoid pesticide in shaanxi agricultural soil. *Water Air and Soil pollution*, 129-234.
- Kodandaram, M. H., and Dhingra, S. 2007. Variation in the toxicity of organophosphate

- insecticides to field population of *Spodoptera litura*. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **35**(1): 53-56.
- Koo, H. N., An, J. J., Park, S. E., Kim, J. I., and Kim, G. H. 2014. Regional susceptibilities to 12 insecticides of melon and cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and a point mutation associated with imidacloprid resistance. *Crop Protection*, **55**: 91–97.
- Kordali, S., Cakir, A., Mavi, A., Kilic, H., Yildirim, A. 2005. Screening of chemical composition and antifungal and antioxidant activities of the essential oils from three Turkish *Artemisia* species. *Journal of Agricultural Food Chemistry*, **53**: 1408–1416.
- Ma, K., Tang, Q., Xia, J., Lv, N., Gao, X. 2019. Fitness costs of sulfoxaflor resistance in the cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover. *Pesticide Biochemistry and Physiology*, **158**: 40–46.
- Mei, Yang., Mengfei, Li., Fadi, Chen and Sumei Chen. 2024. Bioactive components and antimicrobial potential of extracts from *Artemisia* species and their repellent activities against Aphid (*Macrosiphoniella sanborni*). *Ornamental Plant Research*, **4**:1-8.
- Mohan, M., Haider, SZ., Andola, HC., Purohit, VK. 2011. Essential oils as green pesticides: For sustainable agriculture. *Research Journal of Pharmaceutical, Biological and Chemical Sciences*, **2** (4):100-105.
- Mostafiz, M. M., Hassan, E., Shim, J. K., and Lee, K. Y. 2019. Insecticidal efficacy of three benzoate derivatives against *Aphis gossypii* and its predator *Chrysoperla carnea*. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, **184**: 109653.
- Parvathi, K., and Kesar, J. 1999. Toxic, growth inhibitory, and antifeedant activity of *Gliricidia sepium* Jacq. leaf extract against *Dysdercus koenigii* Fab.; *Achaea janata* Linn. and *Spodoptera litura* Fab. *Insect Science and its Application*, **19**: 217-222.
- Patima, W. M. E. H., Guo, P. P., Ma, S. J., Gao, X. W., Zhang, L. J., Zhang, S., and Ma, D. Y. 2019. Resistance of different field populations of *Aphis gossypii* to ten insecticides in Xinjiang. *Plant Protection*, **45**: 273–278.
- Ramangouda, S. H., and Srivastava, R. P. 2009. Bioefficacy of insecticides against tobacco caterpillar, *Spodoptera litura*. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **37**(1/2): 14-19.
- Ray, D. P., Dureja, P., and Walia, S. 2008. Evaluation of marigold (*Tagetes erecta* L.) flower essential oil for antifeedant activity against *Spodoptera litura* F. *Pesticide Research Journal*, **20**(1): 10-12.
- Shannag, H.K., Thorvilson, H. and El-Shatnawi, M.D.K. 1998. Changes in photosynthetic and transpiration rates of cotton leaves infested with the cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii*: Unrestricted infestation. *Annals of Applied Biology*, **132**: 13-18.
- Soliman, M. M. M. 2005. Phytochemical and toxicological studies of *Artemisa* L. (Compositae) essential oil against some insect pests. *Archives of Phytopathology and Plant Protection*, **40**(2): 128-138.
- Somar, R. O., Zamani, A. A., and Alizadeh, M. 2019. Joint action toxicity of imidacloprid and pymetrozine on the melon aphid, *Aphis gossypii*. *Crop Protection*, **124**: 104850.
- Srivastava, R. P., and Proksch, P. 1993. Insecticidal and antifeedant effects of compounds of plant origin against insect pests. *Indian Journal of Plant Protection*, **21**(2): 234-239.
- Taggar, G.K and Arora, R. 2017. Insect Biotypes and Host Plant Resistance. In *Breeding Insect Resistant Crops for Sustainable Agriculture*; Arora, R., Sandhu, S., Eds.; Springer Singapore: Singapore, **PP**. 387–421.
- Vedhamathi, V. 2004. Effect of aqueous extracts from dicot and fern taxa on the growth and development of *Bombyx mori* Linn. and *Spodoptera litura* (Fab.). Thesis, M.Sc. G. B. Pant Univ. of Agric. And Tech., Pantnagar. pp. 137.
- Wang, Y., Zhang, P., Chen, J. 2004. Host-preference biotypes of the cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii* Glover and the behavioral

- mechanism in their formation. Kun Chong Xue Bao, **47**: 760–767.
- Wang, K. Y., Guo, Q. L., Xia, X. M., Wang, H. Y., and Liu, T. X. 2007. Resistance of *Aphis gossypii* (Homoptera: Aphididae) to selected insecticides on cotton from five cotton production regions in Shandong, China. *Journal of Pesticide Science*, **32**: 372–378.
- Wang, L., Zhang, S., Luo, J.Y., Wang, C.Y., Lv, L.M., Zhu, X.Z., Li, C.H., Cui, J.J. 2016. Identification of *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Hemiptera: Aphididae) Biotypes from different host Plants in North China. *PLOS ONE*, **11**: e0146345.
- Wang, D., Liu, D., Zhai, Y., Zhang, R., Shi, X. 2019. Clonal diversity and genetic differentiation of *Sitobion avenae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) From wheat and Barley in China. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, **112**: 1217–1226.
- Xu, T.T., Ma, T.T., Liu, X.D., 2014. How Does the Host-Specialized aphid deal with food Deficiency? Host Use of Host-Specialized Aphid. *Insect Science*. **21**: 334–341.
- Zhang, H., Chen, A., Shan, T., Dong, W., Shi, X., Gao, X. 2020. Cross-resistance and fitness cost analysis of resistance to thiamethoxam in melon and cotton aphid (Hemiptera: aphididae). *Journal of Economic Entomology*. **113**: 1946–1954.
- Wang, X., Zhang, Y., Yuan, H., and Lu, Y. 2024. Effects of seven plant essential oils on the growth, development and feeding behavior of the wingless *Aphis gossypii* Glover. *Plants*, **13**(7): 916.
-
- Rohit Kumar¹, Vijay Kumar², and Priyanka Bhatt^{3*}**
- ¹Territory Business Manager, Bayer Crop Science, Panipat, Haryana, India
<https://orcid.org/0009-0003-5098-9398>
- ²Department of Plant Pathology, Veer Chandra Singh Garhwali Uttarakhand University of Horticulture and Forestry, Bharsar, Pauri Garhwal Uttarakhand, India.
<https://orcid.org/0009-0009-0108-2021>
- ³Faculty of Agriculture and Agroforestry, Kumaun University, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1696-1693>
- *Corresponding author
E-mail : bhattpriyanka18j@gmail.com